Social immunity in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*): transcriptome analysis of varroa-hygienic behaviour

Y. Le Conte*¶, C. Alaux*¶, J-F. Martin†, J. R. Harbo‡, J. W. Harris‡, C. Dantec§, D. Séverac§, S. Cros-Arteil† and M. Navajas†

*INRA, UMR 406 Abeilles et Environnement, Site Agroparc, Avignon cedex 9, France; †INRA, UMR CBGP (INRA/IRD/Cirad/Montpellier SupAgro) /Centre de Biologie pour la Gestion des Populations, Campus International de Baillarguet, Montferrier-sur-Lez Cedex, France; ‡USDA/ARS Honeybee Breeding, Genetics and Physiology Lab, Baton Rouge, LA, USA; and §Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle, UMR5203 CNRS, U661 INSERM, Universités Montpellier 1 & 2, Montpellier Cedex 05, France

Abstract

Honeybees have evolved a social immunity consisting of the cooperation of individuals to decrease disease in the hive. We identified a set of genes involved in this social immunity by analysing the brain transcriptome of highly varroa-hygienic bees, who efficiently detect and remove brood infected with the Varroa destructor mite. The function of these candidate genes does not seem to support a higher olfactory sensitivity in hygienic bees, as previously hypothesized. However, comparing their genomic profile with those from other behaviours suggests a link with brood care and the highly varroa-hygienic Africanized honeybees. These results represent a first step toward the identification of genes involved in social immunity and thus provide first insights into the evolution of social immunity.

Keywords: honeybee, social immunity, hygienic behaviour, *Varroa*, gene expression.

First published online 24 March 2011.

¶Contributed equally.

© 2011 The Authors Insect Molecular Biology © 2011 The Royal Entomological Society

Introduction

In insects, defence against pathogens relies mainly on an efficient innate immunity that is comprised of both cellular and humoral reactions (e.g. phagocytosis, melanization and secretion of antimicrobial peptides) (Hoffmann, 2003; Siva-Jothy et al., 2005). However, when living in groups, as do social insects, the presence of stored resources and the close living quarters increase both the attractiveness for pathogens and disease transmission (Schmid-Hempel, 1998). Therefore, higher capacities to respond to and defend against pathogens may be expected. However, a genome-wide analysis of immunity in the honeybee Apis mellifera showed that they possess only one-third of the number of immune response genes known for solitary insects (eg fruit fly, mosquito and moth) (Evans et al., 2006). As the reduction in the number of genes involved the different steps of the immune response, honeybees appear to have a reduced capacity to respond to and defend against pathogens.

Despite the wide range of pathogens to which social insects are exposed, they successfully resist disease, suggesting that other defence mechanisms may be involved. Indeed, in addition to individual defences, social insects have developed group-level strategies against parasites and pathogens. Such social immunity includes grooming, the use of antimicrobial materials for nest construction (eg resin) (Christe et al., 2003; Simone et al., 2009), social fever (Starks et al., 2000) and nest hygiene (see Cremer et al., 2007, for a review). Since their description, many studies have explored the behavioural mechanisms of these collective immune defences against pathogens (see the following reviews: Cremer et al., 2007; Cremer & Sixt, 2009; Wilson-Rich et al., 2009), but the molecular basis and pathways remain largely unknown. The identification of genes that influence social immunity would not only improve our understanding of its mechanisms but also provide new insights into the evolution of collective defence in insect societies. In the honeybee genome, genes involved in social immunity might have replaced genes from individual immunity that have been

Correspondence: Yves Le Conte, INRA, UMR 406 Abeilles et Environnement, Site Agroparc, Domaine Saint Paul, 84914 Avignon cedex 9, France. Tel.: + 33 4 32 72 26 01; fax: + 33 4 32 72 26 02; e-mail: leconte@ avignon.inra.fr

lost during evolution of sociality and might be key factors for defence against diseases.

In honeybees, a well-known behavioural trait to fight against pathogens is hygienic behaviour, which involves the identification and removal of dead or infected larvae. Using the honeybee genome, we attempted to identify genes involved in this well-characterized behaviour, a main component of social immunity. Hygienic behaviour is directed toward dead brood, but also those in the brood infected with bacteria or fungi (Boecking & Spivak, 1999). the greater wax moth, Galleria mellonella (Villegas & Villa, 2006; Corrêa-Margues & De Jong, 1998), the small hive beetle, Aethina tumida (Ellis et al., 2003; Neumann & Härtels, 2004) or the mite Varroa destructor, the parasite with the most pronounced effect on honeybee colonies. Indeed, development of varroa populations, which reproduce in brood cells, often leads to the death of a colony (Le Conte et al., 2010). As hygienic behaviour is genetically controlled (Rothenbuhler, 1964a,b), selective breeding for varroa resistance through increased varroa-hygienic behaviour offers a sustainable means for controlling mite parasitism amongst the other factors contributing to a stable parasite-host relationship (Rosenkranz et al., 2010). Accordingly, different varroa-hygienic bee lines have been successfully bred (Boecking & Spivak, 1999; Harbo & Harris, 1999, 2005a; Spivak & Reuter, 2001a; Büchler et al., 2010) with one of these characterized by a low percentage of reproducing varroa mites in the hive. Bees from these colonies display effective removal of varroainfested pupae from capped brood cells, which limits the varroa infestation rate and reproduction (Harbo & Harris, 2005b, 2009). This genetic line, called varroa-sensitive hygiene (VSH), thus represents a good model for uncovering genes involved in social immunity. Harbo & Harris (2005a) suggested only a few genes to be involved in VSH behaviour; however, the molecular basis still remained to be deciphered. We therefore directly compared brainspecific gene expression profiles of bees selected for their high rate of hygienic behaviour (VSH+) to bees displaying a low rate of hygienic behaviour (VSH-). We used a honeybee oligonucleotide microarray, based on gene predictions and annotation from the honeybee genome sequencing project (Honeybee Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2006). Finally, in order to characterize the VSH trait further, we compared the brain gene expression profile of VSH bees to genomic profiles from other well-defined behavioural phenotypes.

Results

VSH behaviour

Four VSH+ and four VSH– colonies were selected from 24 colonies of a breeding programme to present high or low

 Table 1. Microarray pair comparisons of four varroa-sensitive hygiene

 (VSH) colonies with low (VSH–) and high (VSH+) rates of hygienic

 behaviour

Pair comparisons	VSH+ (%)	VSH– (%)
1	100	17
2	90	30
3	80	27
4	100	33

The percentage of varroa-infected brood removed by workers in each colony is indicated. The baseline population of mites in each colony was estimated in 200 worker-brood cells that were 0–3 days post-capping. Then, when the cells were 7–10 days post-capping, the number of uninfested cells was counted giving the brood removal rate (see Harbo & Harris, 2009, for more details).

rates of varroa-sensitive hygienic expression. The percentage of varroa-infected brood removed by workers in each colony is shown in Table 1.

VSH genes

A total of 39 transcripts was found to be differentially expressed in the brains of VSH+ and VSH- bees at a false discovery rate < 0.05 (Table 2). Amongst them, 14 were significantly up-regulated and 25 down-regulated in VSH+. The magnitude of the differences in expression ranged from 1.61 to 2.75 for the up-regulated genes and from 1.47 to 2.69 for down-regulated genes. The significance of the variation in gene expression seemed robust because three exons of the Down syndrome cell adhesion molecule (Dscam) gene found to be differentially expressed were consistently down-regulated in VSH+. Microarrays were further validated by a quantitative real-time PCR (gRT-PCR) analysis (Fig. 1). Ratios of mean expression levels (VSH-/VSH+) from qRT-PCR analyses were similar to microarray ratios: Antdh: 1.47, lop1: 1.45 and Arrestin2: 1.35.

Overlap between VSH and other behavioural gene sets

To characterize the VSH genomic profile further, we compared the brain genomic profile of VSH bees to eight relevant gene sets that are associated with different behavioural phenotypes: foraging behaviour, performance of vibration signal (behavioural communication), bees stimulated by queen mandibular pheromone, brood pheromone or alarm pheromone and finally genes differentially expressed between Africanized and European honeybees (guard, soldier forager).

From three to 12 genes overlapped between the VSH and one of the behavioural gene sets (Table 3). We then determined whether the different overlaps were higher than the number of genes expected to overlap by chance alone. The VSH gene sets significantly overlapped with the gene set that is induced by the brood pheromone and

Table 2.	Probes differentially	<pre>v expressed in brains</pre>	of varroa-sensitive	hvaiene (VSH) bees with low	(VSH-) ar	ıd hiah (VSH-	rates of hygienic behaviour
				JJ (-)		· · / · ·	- 3 (-	,

Probe ID	Description	Drosophila orthologue	log2 ratio (VSH+/VSH-)	Gene ontology
	Boothpilon	Brocopinia oranologuo		
AM01773	NW_001253565.1 SET: UI_EST BI510059	/	1.46	
AM02265	BB160015A20H04	/	1.17	
AM01177	NW_001253491.1 SET: UI_EST BI504108	/	1.12	
AM01015	BB160006A10E07	/	0.74	
AM02211	BB16001/4410B11	,	0.71	
AM0/119	GB11/00	, CG31004	0.46	Cell-matrix adhesion
AM05646	GB12026	/	0.60	Gen-matrix adhesion
AM01600	BB170002410406	/	0.03	
AIVI01022	DD170002A10A00	1	0.56	
AIVIOUOUO	honeybee cDNA library	/	0.49	
AM02131	BB160011B20H01	/	0.63	
AM03470	GB10845	PRL-1	0.54	Protein tyrosine phosphatase activity; immediate early gene
AM01666	BB170006B20H07	/	0.77	
AM09325	GB16747	CG17323	0.81	Glucuronosyltransferase activity; inter-male aggressive behaviour
AM01607	NW_001253063.1 SET: UI_EST	/	0.69	
AM07547	GB14956	CG6910	-0.56	Inositol oxygenase activity; oxidation
AM12206	GB19657 [long wavelength sensitive opsin 1 (lop1)]	Rhodopsin 6	-0.79	G-protein coupled photoreceptor activity; phototransduction
AM01085	BB170007A20A04	/	-0.60	·
AM02392	NM 001040230.1	/	-1.42	
AM03333	GB10708	/	-0.99	
AM03972	GB12522	Antdh	-0.68	Carbonyl reductase nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate activity; oxidation reduction
AM05381	GB12766	Arrestin 2	-0.74	Adaptation of rhodopsin mediated signalling
AM00612	DB739042 RIKEN full-length enriched honeybee cDNA library	/	-0.77	
AM09032	GB16453	CG32645	-0.70	Transferase activity
AM00604	DB738421 RIKEN full-length enriched honeybee cDNA library	/	-0.69	·
AM00955	DB752711 RIKEN full-length enriched	/	-0.61	
AM06645	GB30234	Ets654	-0.72	Transcription factor activity
AM12005	GB30242 [Odorant binding protein 3	/	-0.58	Odorant binding; sensory perception of
	(<i>UDP3</i>)]	,	0.00	chemical stimulus
AM03226	GB10599	/	-0.80	
AM06202	GB13602	CG10175	-0.56	Carboxylesterase activity; metabolic process
AM10277	GB17704	/	-0.50	
AM02039	BB160009B10D09	/	-0.74	
AM00103	GB30209 [Down syndrome cell adhesion molecule (Dscam) exon 3]	Dscam	-0.77	Axon guidance; mushroom body development
AM00166	GB15141 (Dscam exon 10.9)	Dscam	-1.08	Axon guidance; mushroom body development
AM04590	GB11973 (<i>Cyp4g11</i>)	Cyp4g15	-0.93	Electron carrier activity; steroid biosynthetic process
AM01535	BB170004B10F01	/	-1.11	
AM01750	BB170027A10E09	/	-1.43	
AM01173	BB170009B20C11	/	-1.52	
AM00167	GB15141 (Dscam exon 10.10)	Dscam	-1.62	Axon guidance: mushroom body
AM01260	BB170030B10C09	/	_1 43	development
7 1000		/	1. TO	

Corresponding Drosophila orthologues, log2 ratio of expression values (VSH+/VSH-) and gene ontology based on fly orthologues (Flybase) are shown. Positive expression values indicate higher expression in VSH+ bees compared to VSH- bees. '/' indicates the absence of clear Drosophila orthologues.

the gene sets of vibrating bees and Africanized honeybees. As a result of the 'low' number of VSH genes, the number of overlapping genes was small and thus we could not perform statistical tests to determine the directional bias of the different overlaps. However, Table 2 indicates that there is a slight tendency for genes that were up-regulated in one of the gene sets to be down-regulated in the VSH+ sets and vice versa (Table 4).



Figure 1. Validation of microarray results with quantitative real-time PCR. Brain expression levels of three genes (*Arrestin 2, lop1* and *Antah*) identified by the microarray study as being differentially expressed between bees with low (VSH–) and high (VSH+) rates of hygienic behaviour. Individuals from VSH– and VSH+ colonies used for the arrays were tested. Each bar represents a colony sample (pool of 10 bees). Significant differences were found for each gene using a Mann-Whitney *U*-test (*P* < 0.05).

Discussion

As group-level defence against pathogens mostly involves collective behaviour, analysing genes involved in social immunity comes down to the identification of behavioural genes. By comparing VSH+ bees, characterized by a high performance level of hygienic behaviour, to VSH– bees,

we expected to find some genes to be differentially expressed in VSH bees. The identification of 39 transcripts that are differentially expressed between VSH+ and VSH- bees confirmed this hypothesis.

Candidate genes for social immunity

VSH bees are characterized by their high ability to detect and remove varroa-parasitized brood (Harbo & Harris, 2005b). Harris (2007) suggested that VSH bees are either more sensitive to olfactory-based stimuli associated with parasitized brood members or have a lower response threshold to mite density (initiate hygienic behaviour at a lower mite density), which is not related to a higher olfactory sensitivity. This latter assumption comes from the fact that, at very low mite densities, there is no difference in hygienic behaviour between resistant (hygienic) Africanized bees and nonresistant European bees (Vandame et al., 2000). Hygienic behaviour would be initiated when a critical threshold of mite infestation rate is reached (Vandame et al., 2002); a threshold that is lower in resistant bees. The identification of genes that are differentially expressed between VSH and control bees might give some clues on the mechanisms of hygienic behaviour.

Amongst the genes up-regulated, PRL-1 encodes a protein tyrosine phosphatase. In Drosophila, its function is unknown but this gene belongs to the category of immediate-early genes (Diamond et al., 1994), which are genes that play an essential role in neural morphogenesis and functioning in mammals (Paul & Lombroso, 2003). This key regulatory component in signal transduction pathways might therefore be important to the development of hygienic behaviour. CG17323 has been found to be involved in diverse functions like circadian rhythm (Ceriani et al., 2002), aggression (Edwards et al., 2009) and response to ethanol exposure (Morozova et al., 2009). In addition, CG17323 and CG31004 (also up-regulated in VSH bees) are both affected by nutrient intake (Zinke et al., 2002). However, we do not have enough information on VSH behaviour to establish a link between this behaviour and these functions. The function of the cytochrome P450 Cyp4g11 is unknown. However, the Drosophila orthologue Cyp4g15 has been found to be predominantly expressed in the brain of Drosophila flies, where it might be involved in ecdysteroid metabolism rather than in detoxifying xenobiotics (Maibeche-Coisne et al., 2000). This suggests that in the bee brain Cyp4g11 might catalyse a reaction in some metabolic pathways that could be involved in hygienic behaviour. Another important gene is Dscam, an immunoglobulin superfamily member essential for wiring the brain. The molecular diversity of Dscam (38 016 alternative splicing forms in Drosophila) is essential for mediating axon guidance and neuronal wiring
 Table 3. Significance of overlap between the varroa-sensitive hygiene (VSH) and other behavioural gene sets

	Expected no.	Observed no.	RF	P-value
Forager	4.3	5	1.1	0.44
Vibrating bee	3	10	3.3	< 0.001
Queen mandibular pheromone	NA	8	NA	NA
Brood pheromone	1.1	7	6.5	< 0.001
Alarm pheromone	1.5	3	1.9	0.2
AHB guard	0.8	6	7.5	< 0.001
AHB soldier	1.8	12	6.7	< 0.001
AHB forager	0.19	5	26.5	< 0.001

Expected no., the number of genes expected to overlap between two gene sets by chance alone; RF, representation factor. As the gene set regulated by the queen mandibular pheromone was determined by using a different microarray platform (cDNA microarrays generated from brain expressed sequenced tags), its overlap with the VSH gene sets could not be calculated. Guard and forager describe specific tasks of bees: the first guard the hive at the nest entrance, the second are the first to react to a threat. AHB, Africanized honeybees; NA, not available.

Table 4.	Overlap	between th	e varroa-sensiti	ve hygiene	(VSH)	and	other	behavioural	gene	sets
----------	---------	------------	------------------	------------	-------	-----	-------	-------------	------	------

AM01773 Down Up AM02255 Down Down Down Monage (Constraint) Down Down Down Down Monage (Constraint) Monage (Constraint) Down Down Down Down Monage (Constraint) Monag	Oligo ID	Foraging bee	Vibrating bee	QMP	BP	Alarm pheromone	AHB guard	AHB soldier	AHB forager	
AM0217Down <th co<="" td=""><td>AM01773</td><td>Down</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Up</td></th>	<td>AM01773</td> <td>Down</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Up</td>	AM01773	Down							Up
AM01177DownDownDownDownAM0211DownDownDownDownDownAM0646Sample Sample S	AM02265									
AM01915DownDownDownAM0221 </td <td>AM01177</td> <td></td> <td>Down</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Down</td> <td></td>	AM01177		Down					Down		
AM0211	AM01915		Down				Down	Down		
AM0119AM05646AM0162AM00808AM02131DownM01066AM01677AM01087M01088AM01080AM01080AM01080AM01080AM01080AM01080AM01080AM01080AM01081AM01082AM01085DownQpAM01085DownQpAM01085DownQpAM01085DownQpAM01085DownQpAM01085DownQpAM01085QpQpQpAM03324AM00955QpAM00956QpAM00956QpAM00956QpAM00956QpAM00956QpAM00956QpQpAM00957QpQpAM01050QpAM01050QpAM01050QpAM01050 </td <td>AM02211</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	AM02211									
AM05646AM02162AM0217AM0217AM02181CovenAM02181CovenAM03270CovenAM02826CovenAM01667AM017677M075477UpM075478UpM0708777UpM0708787UpM0708787UpM0708787UpM070881UpM03932UpM03932UpM03933UpM00804UpAM06064UpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpAM03226UpUpUpAM0326UpUpAM0276UpUpAM0326UpUpAM0276UpUpAM0276UpAM0176AM0176	AM04119									
AM01822DownUpUpAM02131DownUpUpAM03470UpUpDownDownAM03636DownDownDownDownAM01666UpDownUpDownAM01767UpUpUpUpAM01858DownUpUpAM03333UpUpUpAM03337UpUpDownAM03337UpDownUpAM0568DownDownUpAM03697UpDownUpAM03612DownDownUpAM0362UpUpDownAM03626UpUpDownAM06627UpUpUpAM02638UpUpUpAM06648UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06649UpUpUpAM06669UpUpUpAM06669UpUpUpAM06670UpUpUpAM0670UpUp	AM05646									
AM020808	AM01622									
AM02131DownUpUpAM03470UpUpUpAM03470UpDownDownAM01660DownDownDownAM07547UpUpUpUpAM07547UpUpDownUpAM07547UpUpDownUpAM03330DownUpUpUpAM03331UpDownUpUpAM03332UpUpUpDownAM03333UpDownUpUpAM03334UpDownUpUpAM0335UpDownUpDownAM03642Image: Comment of the state of the stat	AM00808									
AM03470UpUpUpAM01666AM09325AM07547UpUpAM1226DownUpAM01085DownUpAM02392DownUpAM03337UpUpUpAM03381UpUpUpAM063631UpDownUpAM06464UpUpAM02565UpUpUpAM02664UpUpUpAM02675UpUpUpAM06464UpUpUpAM02676UpUpUpAM02676UpUpUpAM02676UpUpUpAM02676UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0277UpUpUpAM0278UpUpUpAM0279UpUpUpAM0279UpUpUpAM0279UpUpUpAM0279UpUpUpAM0279UpUpUpAM0279UpUpUpAM0279UpUpUp <td>AM02131</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Down</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Up</td> <td></td>	AM02131			Down				Up		
AM01666 Jown Jown Jown AM0325 Jown Jown Jown AM07547 Up Up Up Up AM01206 Up Up Up Up AM01208 Own Up Up Up AM03333 Up Up Down Down Down AM03333 Up Own Up Up Up Up AM03333 Up Down Up Down Down Up AM03333 Up Down Up Down Up Down Up AM03334 Up Down Down Up Down Up Image: State Stat	AM03470	Up	Up		Up					
AM09325 Jown Down Down Jp AM07547 Up Up Up Jp AM12206 Up Down Up Jp AM01085 Down Up Down Down Down AM03333 Up Up Down Down Down Down AM033372 Up Up Down Down Down Down AM063872 Up Down Up Down Down Down AM063972 Up Down Up Down Down Down AM06387 Up Down Up Down Down Down AM06397 Up Down Up Down Down Up AM06397 Up Down Up Down Up AM0645 Up Down Down Up AM06645 Up Up Up Up Up AM06202 Up Up Up Up Up AM02030 Up Up Up Up Up AM02030 Up Up Up Up Up AM04300 Up Up Up	AM01666									
AM01607 Up Up Up Up AM12206 Up Up Up AM01085 Down Up Up Up AM02392 Down Up Up Up AM03333 Up Up Up Up Up Up Up AM03926 Down Up Up Down Up	AM09325						Down	Down		
AM07547 Up Up Down Up Up AM1206 Up Up Up Down Down AM01085 Down Up Up Down Down Down AM03333 Up Up Up Up Up Up AM03972 Down Down Up Up Up Up AM06381 Up Down Up Down Down Image: State Stat	AM01607									
AM12206 Up Down Up AM01085 Down Up Down Down Down AM03392 Up Up Up Up Up AM03972 Down Up Up Down Secondard AM05381 Up Down Up Down Secondard Secondard AM060612 Secondard Up Up Down Secondard Seco	AM07547	Up	Up	Up				Up		
AM01085 Down Up Down Down Down Down AM03332 Up Up Up Down Up Up AM03333 Up Down Up Up Up Up AM0392 Down Up Down Up Down Image: Common the common	AM12206		Up	Down		Up				
AM02392 Up Down Up Up Up AM03333 Up Down Up Up Up AM03972 Down Up Up Down Up AM05381 Up Down Up Down Down Image: Comment of the state of the s	AM01085	Down	Up							
AM03333 Up Up Up Up AM03972 Down Up Down Image: Comparison of the comparison of	AM02392				Down	Up	Down	Down	Down	
AM03972 Down Up Up Down AM05381 Up Down Up Down AM00612 AM09032 AM09032 Image: Comparison of the stress of the stres	AM03333		Up		Up			Up		
AM05381 Up Down Up Down AM00612 AM09032 AM0604 AM09032 AM00604 Down AM09055 Down AM006055 Up Down Down AM060645 Down Down AM06045 AM12005 Up Up Down AM06202 Up Up Up AM06203 Up Up Up AM06204 Up Up Up AM06205 Up Up Up AM06206 Up Up Up AM06202 Up Up Up Up AM06203 Up Up Up Up AM002039 Up Up Up Up AM00166 Up Up Up Up AM01535 Up Up Up Up AM01173 Up Up Up Up	AM03972			Down						
AM00612	AM05381	Up	Down			Up	Up	Down		
AM09032 AM00604 AM00955 Up AM06645 Down AM12005 Up AM03226 Up AM10277 Up AM00103 Up AM0166 Up AM0105 Up AM01010 Up AM01010 Up AM01010 Up AM01010 Up AM01010 Up AM011750 Up AM01173 Up	AM00612									
AM00604 Down AM00955 Up Down AM06645 Up Up AM03226 Up Up AM02039 Up Up AM00103 Up Up AM01664 Up Up AM01750 Up Up AM01750 Up Up	AM09032									
АМ00955 Up Down AM06645 AM12005 Up AM03226 Up Up AM06202 Up Up AM02039 Up Up AM00103 Up Up AM01535 Up Up AM01535 Up Up AM01750 Up Up AM01750 Up Up	AM00604									
AM06645 AM12005 AM03226 Up AM06202 Up AM10277 AM02039 Up Up AM00103 Up AM00166 Up Up AM00166 Up AM01535 Down Up AM01750 Up Up AM01750 Up Up	AM00955		Up					Down		
AM12005 Up AM03226 Up AM06202 Up AM10277 Up AM02039 Up AM00103 Up AM00166 Up AM01535 Down AM0173 Up AM00167 Up	AM06645									
АМ03226 Up АМ06202 Up АМ10277 Up AM02039 Up AM00103 Up AM00166 Up AM01535 Down AM01750 Up AM00167 Up	AM12005									
АМ06202 Up АМ10277 Up AM02039 Up AM00103 Up AM00166 Up AM01535 Down AM01750 Up AM0173 Up	AM03226							Up		
АМ10277 AM02039 Up AM00103 Up AM00166 Up AM01535 AM01750 Up AM0173	AM06202			Up				·		
AM02039 Up Up AM00103 Up Up AM00166 Up Up AM04590 Up Up AM01535 Down AM01750 Up Up AM0173 Up Up	AM10277			·						
AM00103 Up AM00166 Up Up AM04590 Up Up AM01535 Down AM01750 Up Up AM01173 Up Up	AM02039				Up		Up			
AM00166 Up Up AM04590 Up	AM00103				Up					
AM04590 Up Down AM01535 Down AM01750 Up Up AM01173 AM00167 Up Up Up	AM00166			Up	·				Up	
AM01535 Down AM01750 Up Up AM01173 AM00167 Up Up Up	AM04590			Up					- I.	
AM01750 Up Up AM01173 AM00167 Up Up	AM01535							Down		
AM01173 AM00167 Up Up	AM01750				Up				Up	
AM00167 Up Up	AM01173								- I.	
	AM00167							Up	Up	
AM01360 Up Up Up Up Up	AM01360		Up	Up	Up		Up			

The upper and lower part of the table shows probes that are up- and down-regulated in VSH+ bees, respectively. 'Up' and 'Down' indicate whether probes are up- or down-regulated in the corresponding behavioural phenotype. AHB, Africanized honeybees; BP, brood pheromone; QMP, queen mandibular pheromone.

specificity (Chen *et al.*, 2006). The significant downregulation of three *Dscam* exons suggests therefore a different wiring of neuronal networks in the brains of VSH bees.

Two other genes are both involved in visual signalling: long-wavelength sensitive opsin 1 and arrestin 2 (Dolph et al., 1993). In insects, arrestin 2 is also expressed in olfactory neurones and is believed to be important for a normal olfactory physiology (Merrill et al., 2002; Walker et al., 2008). Long-wave opsins have been described in the optic lobes (Lampel et al., 2005) but in honeybees this gene is solely expressed in the compound eyes (Velarde et al., 2005), which suggests that a small amount of retinal tissue was associated with the dissected brain tissue. As the brood is reared in the dark inside the hive, the downregulation of the visual signalling cascades would indicate that VSH bees spend more time within the hive than nonhygienic bees of the same age.

The down-regulation of *odorant binding protein 3* (*obp3*), a member of the *Obp* family first described as a carrier of odorant molecules in olfactory tissue, could support a role in the olfactory sensitivity of VSH bees. However, its expression in the brain and in other body parts, with the exception of the antennae (Foret & Maleszka, 2006), suggests that *obp3* is involved in other physiological functions. A similar conclusion can be drawn with *Antdh*, which was first described in the antennae of *Drosophila* as being involved in odorant turnover (Wang *et al.*, 1999). However, the down-regulation of *Ets65A*, a candidate gene likely to account for olfactory behaviour in the smell-impaired mutant lines *65A* (Anholt & Mackay, 2001), suggests that VSH bees express a different level of odour-guided behaviour than other bees.

The lack or down-regulation of genes involved in olfaction does not seem to support the hypothesis that VSH bees are more sensitive to olfactory-based stimuli associated with parasitism of the brood. The alternative explanation focusing on the tolerance level to mite density would be more likely. However, to reject definitely the hypothesis of higher olfactory sensitivity, the analysis of peripheral tissues, like antennae, should be performed. Indeed, insect behaviour can be dramatically affected by changes in expression of genes that are antennal-specific (Wang *et al.*, 2008).

Relationship between VSH and others behavioural phenotypes

The overlap analyses between different genomic profiles provided a better characterization of VSH behaviour. We did not find a significant overlap between VSH and forager brain gene expression profile. This could be a result of age differences between our VSH samples and old foragers; however, our findings that these two phenotypes are not linked is supported by Goode et al. (2006), who found that hygienic behaviour is independent of foraging ontogeny. Interestingly, the number of genes overlapping between VSH and vibrating bees was higher than expected by chance alone. This 'modulatory communication signal' induces a nonspecific increase in worker activity (Schneider & Lewis, 2004) and is produced by a restricted number of bees, primarily successful forager collecting food outside the hive (Schneider & Lewis, 2004). As VSH bees tend to display an opposite gene expression pattern to vibrating bees, this suggests that hygienic bees might spend more time in inside-hive activity. Another interesting result is the significant overlap between the genomic profiles of VSH bees and bees stimulated by brood pheromone (BP). This pheromone emitted by larvae stimulates brood care (feeding) (Le Conte et al., 2001) but also the capping of brood cells containing mature larvae (Le Conte et al., 1990). This raises the question of whether BP can affect hygienic behaviour. Interestingly, BP tends to inhibit genes that are up-regulated in VSH bees and inversely, which suggests that hygienic bees do not spend time on feeding larvae and/or have a higher propensity to not cap opened brood cells. Indeed, hygienic behaviour is performed on mite-infested pupae, especially young pupae (3-5 days post capping) (Harris, 2007). As the gueen mandibular pheromone exhibits compelling similarities in effects on bee behavior compared to BP (Alaux et al., 2010), a similar conclusion could be drawn with this pheromone. Finally, our results indicate that Africanized honeybees (AHB) and VSH genomic profiles share a significant number of genes despite the age difference between bees from both data sets. This is particularly appealing given that AHB are tolerant to varroa compared to the European honeybee (EHB). One explanation highlights the fact that, similarly to VSH bees, AHB are more able to remove infested brood than EHB (Guzmán-Novoa et al., 1999; Vandame et al., 2000; but see Mondragon et al., 2005). The shared behavioural and molecular traits suggest that the mechanism underlying the tolerance to varroa is similar between AHB and VSH bees. However, amongst the overlapping genes, few are regulated in the same direction in both AHB and VSH bees. Genes that are common to both strains and follow the same pattern of regulation may represent key factors of the molecular resistance to varroa.

Comparisons to others studies

A previous study identified genes associated to another type of mite resistance displayed by some French colonies (Navajas *et al.*, 2008). Only two genes were both differentially expressed in VSH and those *Varroa* surviving bees (VSB) that are naturally tolerant to *Varroa* infestation. *BB160006A10F07* and *Dscam exon 10.9*, up-regulated and down-regulated, respectively, in VSH

were both down-regulated in VSB+ compared to VSB–. Although this low number was surprising, on the one hand the analysis of VSB individuals was performed on whole pupae and the present study was performed with bee brains, and on the other hand the mechanisms of varroa tolerance in the French strains has not yet been clearly established. It is possible that the mechanism differs from the hygienic behaviour performed by VSH bees. Nevertheless, as *Dscam exon 10.9* was also down-regulated in VSH bees, this gene may be important for the resistance to varroa parasitism.

A different approach based on genetic mapping was used in order to identify the genetic component of hygienic behaviour. By performing a quantitative trait loci (QTLs) analysis, Lapidge et al. (2002) first found that hygienic behaviour is influenced by many different loci but recently, in an attempt to provide marker-assisted selection for hygienic behaviour, Oxley et al. (2010) identified three QTLs that influence the propensity of workers to perform hygienic tasks. QTLs are phenotypically defined genomic regions associated with variation in a phenotypic trait, which can be large and contain hundreds of candidate genes. However, QTL analysis does not indicate the expression pattern of these genes. Quantitative expression studies such as microarray analysis can be used to systematically reduce the list of candidate loci and reveal regulatory variation in genes and pathway signalling. Thus, combining QTL mapping with transcriptome analysis promises to identify positional candidate genes for a phenotype of interest whose expression varies amongst lines (Jansen & Nap, 2001; Wayne & McIntyre, 2002; Li & Burmeister, 2005). Unfortunately, none of the genes from these QTLs were found to be differentially expressed in VSH bees, indicating that further studies are needed to understand the genetic background of such behaviour. This lack of overlap could come from the different breeding lines of bees that were used in both studies. The QTL mapping was performed with the Minnesota (USA) Hygienic bee stock (Boecking & Spivak, 1999; Spivak & Reuter, 2001a) and the transcriptome analysis with VSH bees from Lousiana (USA) (Harbo & Harris, 1999). In addition, each line was obtained with different methods of selection: the VSH line was specifically selected for its resistance to varroa but the selection of the Minnesota line was not varroa specific and included a broad spectrum of pathogens, causing notably the American foulbrood (Spivak & Reuter, 2001b) and chalkbrood diseases (Spivak & Reuter, 1998). Thus, combining both techniques on the same bee lines may provide finer identification of candidate genes involved in hygienic behaviour.

Conclusion

It has been shown that social defence reduces the investment of individuals in their own immune function in ants and honeybees (Castella *et al.*, 2008; Simone *et al.*, 2009). This indicates that the evolution of sociality might have led to the loss of immune genes, as indicated by the analysis of the honeybee genome (Evans *et al.*, 2006), to the expense of behavioural genes involved in group level defence. As behavioural genes are often pleiotropic (Greenspan, 2001; Sokolowski, 2001), those genes might be both involved in social immunity and other behavioural phenotypes (see Tables 3 and 4), which might reduce the physiological investment in the defence against pathogens compared to less pleiotropic immune genes. This study represents a first step towards understanding the genomic basis of social immunity. Future research will have to test the functions of those candidate genes in collective defences.

Experimental procedures

Honeybee rearing and selective breeding

The honevbee colonies used in this study presenting high or low rates of varroa-hygienic behaviour were the same as those previously used by Harbo & Harris (2009) for studying the responses to varroa by honeybees with different levels of varroa-sensitive hygiene. Briefly, we produced a group of 26 colonies of a European mix of A. mellifera subspecies typically found in North America that presented different levels of varroa-sensitive hygiene. Fourteen colonies had queens produced from a line with 100% expression of VSH (high line, H), and 12 had queens produced from a line that did not express VSH (low line, L). Each of the 26 queens was backcrossed to a single drone produced by an HL queen (a daughter of both lines H and L). As the HL queen had half of the VSH alleles, she produced drones that ranged from having 0 to 100% of the VSH alleles. With this design, the 14 colonies in group H should have 50-100% of the alleles for VSH and the 12 in group L should have 0-50%. Therefore, we speculated that the lowest of the low group had none of the genes for VSH and the highest of the high group had all of the genes for VSH. Each queen was introduced into colonies that were evaluated for varroa hygienic ability as in Harbo & Harris (2009), after the test queens had been laying in their colonies for at least 6 weeks. In this way all worker bees that were between 0 and 3 weeks old were daughters of the test queen. The varroa hygienic ability corresponded to the percentage of mite-infested pupae that were removed by the colony. Thanks to this method, we were able to sample high and low varroa hygienic bees for gene expression analysis. Some bees were marked at emergence and returned to their colonies of origin. We then collected and flash froze in liquid nitrogen 14-day-old bees from four VSH+ colonies and four VSH- colonies (control) (Table 1). To avoid any bias toward a specific behaviour, bees found on the brood area were randomly collected. VSH+/VSH- colonies were directly compared with microarrays analysis using a dye swap method.

Brain dissection and mRNA extraction

Whole heads were partially freeze-dried at -80 °C (0.0005 mmbar for 140 min) to facilitate brain dissection. Dissections were performed on dry ice to prevent brain thawing. For

each sample, 10 frozen bee brains were pooled and grounded on dry ice. We added 600 μ l of RLT buffer containing 6 μ l of β -mercaptoethanol to the powder to disrupt the tissue. RNA extraction was carried out as indicated in the Qiagen RNeasy kit for total RNA (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). RNA isolated from 10 pooled brains was then used for microarrays analysis.

Microarrays and data analysis

For the preparation of the labelled Cy3- and Cy5- aRNA target, total RNA (1 ug) was amplified with an Amino Allyl MessageAmp II aRNA Amplifcation kit (Ambion, Courtaboeuf, France), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then, amino allyl RNA samples were dried in SpeedVac (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Courtaboeuf, France) and resuspended in 9 µl coupling buffer (0.1 M carbonate buffer pH 9). We added to each sample 11 μ l of one of the N-hydroxysuccinimide ester dyes (Cy3 and Cy5) diluted in diméthylsulfoxyde (CyDye Post-Labelling Reactive Dye, GE Healthcare, Montpellier, France). Samples were incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min with shaking and $4.5 \,\mu l$ 4 M hydroxylamine was added to each sample. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 15 min in the dark. Nucleasefree water was added to each sample to bring the volume to 100 $\mu l.$ Then, we added 350 μl of aRNA Binding Buffer and 250 μl 100% ethanol to each aRNA sample. Samples were applied on the column and centrifuge 10 000 g for 1 min. We added 650 μ l Wash Buffer and centrifuged for 1 min at 10 000 g. After discarding the flow-through, samples were centrifuged again for 1 min at 10 000 g. Samples were eluted twice in 50 µl nuclease-free water. Cy3 and Cy5 reactions were equally combined and fragmented according to the kit's instructions.

Before hybridization, slides were passed quickly through steam and placed in a UV linker at 100 mJ. Before pre-hybridization, slides were plunged twice in 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and immediately shaken vigorously for 1 min. They were then washed twice in distilled water for 1 min. The two labelled aRNA were added to 4 × hybridization buffer (GE Healthcare) in a final concentration of 50% formamide, denatured at 95 °C for 5 min and applied to the microarrays in individual chambers of an automated slide processor (GE Healthcare). Hybridization was carried out at 37 °C for 16 h. Hybridized slides were washed at 37 °C successively with 1 × saline sodium citrate (SSC), 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) for 20 min, twice with 0.1 × SSC, 0.2% SDS for 10 min, with 0.1 × SSC for 1 min and with isopropanol before air drying.

Microarrays were immediately scanned at 10 μ m resolutions in both Cy3 and Cy5 channels using a GenePix 4200AL scanner (Molecular Devices, St. Grégoire, France). The scanning was carried out with a variable photomultiplier tube (PMT) voltage to obtain maximal signal intensities (<0.1% probe saturation). ARRAYVISION software (GE Healthcare) was used for feature extraction. Spots with high local background or contamination fluorescence were flagged manually. A local background was calculated for each spot as the median values of the fluorescence intensities of four squares surrounding the spot. This background was subtracted from the foreground fluorescence intensity.

No background correction was performed. No spatial bias in the quality analysis was detected so a Loess normalization was performed for all microarrays to correct dyes effect and technical bias. Tests of differential expression were conducted using the Siggenes package from Bioconductor and the Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM) proposed by (Tusher *et al.*, 2001). SAM assigns a score to each gene based on the standard deviation of repeated gene expression measurements. Then, a false discovery rate is estimated by permutations of the repeated measurements to obtain a ranking of significantly expressed genes. The Bioarray Software Environment (BASE) (local installation: http://baseprod.igf.cnrs.fr/index.phtml) was used to visualize differential expression for each gene.

Verification by qRT-PCR

In order to validate the microarrays results, qRT-PCR was performed on each sample. The transcript abundance was measured for *lop1, Arrestin2* and *Andth* with a Roche LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France). Their expression levels were then normalized to a housekeeping gene (*Bl511718*) and relative to a control sample using 2(-Delta-Delta CT) values. Primer sequences (5' to 3') were *lop1* forward: GTTCTCTCTCGGATGGACTA, reverse: GGGAC GAAGTAAACCCAAAT; *Arrestin2* forward: CTTGTAAGAGGA CGTAAATTGCCTA, reverse: TGAGCATTAACCATTGTCACC; *Antdh* forward: CAATTTAGAAGATTGGCGCTC, reverse: TCCA GGTATGAAAGGCACTC; *Bl511718* forward: CTCATCAGTTGT-TGGTTCTCCTC, reverse: TCGTTTGGCTCTTCAGTCTTGT.

Overlap between the VSH and other gene expression profiles

We compared the VSH genomic profile to different gene lists previously identified in other honeybee studies. These brain gene expression profiles are specific to foraging behaviour (Alaux et al., 2009b), bees specialized in vibration communication (strong arousal state) (Alaux et al., 2009a), Africanized honeybees (Alaux et al., 2009c) and finally bees stimulated by gueen mandibular pheromone (Grozinger et al., 2003), brood pheromone (Alaux et al., 2009b) or alarm pheromone (Alaux et al., 2009c). We calculated a 'representation factor' (the number of observed overlapping genes divided by the expected number of overlapping genes) and used an exact hypergeometric probability test to determine whether the overlap between the VSH and another gene set was statistically significant. The expected number corresponds to the product of the number of genes in each list divided by the total number of genes analysed (Kim et al., 2001).

Web resource

Gene expression data meet Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) standards and have been deposited at ArrayExpress (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress): E-TABM-1002.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by a European grant for beekeeping, FEOGA-convention 07-17/2004-07 awarded to M. Navajas and Y. Le Conte. C. Alaux was supported by an INRA young researcher position (INRA SPE department).

References

Alaux, C., Duong, N., Schneider, S.S., Southey, B.R., Rodriguez-Zas, S. and Robinson, G.E. (2009a) Modulatory communication signal performance is associated with a distinct neurogenomic state in honey bees. *PloS ONE* **4**: e6694.

- Alaux, C., Le Conte, Y., Adams, H.A., Rodriguez-Zas, S., Grozinger, C.M., Sinha, S. *et al.* (2009b) Regulation of brain gene expression in honey bees by brood pheromone. *Genes Brain Behav* 8: 309–319.
- Alaux, C., Sinha, S., Hasadsri, L., Hunt, G.J., Guzman-Novoa, E., DeGrandi-Hoffman, G. *et al.* (2009c) Honey bee aggression supports a link between gene regulation and behavioral evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**: 15400–15405.
- Alaux, C., Maisonnasse, A. and Le Conte, Y. (2010) Pheromones in a superorganism: from gene to social regulation. *Vitam Horm* 83: 401–423.
- Anholt, R.R. and Mackay, T.F. (2001) The genetic architecture of odor-guided behavior in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Behav Genet* 31: 17–27.
- Boecking, O. and Spivak, M. (1999) Behavioral defenses of honeybees against *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud. *Apidologie* **30**: 141– 158.
- Büchler, R., Berg, S. and Le Conte, Y. (2010) Breeding for resistance to Varroa destructor in Europe. *Apidologie* **41**: 393–408.
- Castella, G., Chapuisat, M., Moret, Y. and Christe, P. (2008) The presence of conifer resin decreases the use of the immune system in wood ants. *Ecol Entomol* **33**: 408–412.
- Ceriani, M.F., Hogenesch, J.B., Yanovsky, M., Panda, S., Straume, M. and Kay, S.A. (2002) Genome-wide expression analysis in *Drosophila* reveals genes controlling circadian behavior. *J Neurosci* **22**: 9305–9319.
- Chen, B.E., Kondo, M., Garnier, A., Watson, F.L., Püettmann-Holgado, R., Lamar, D.R. *et al.* (2006) The molecular diversity of Dscam is functionally required for neuronal wiring specificity in *Drosophila. Cell* **125**: 607–620.
- Christe, P., Oppliger, A., Bancalà, F., Castella, G. and Chapuisat,
 M. (2003) Evidence for collective medication in ants. *Ecol Lett* 6: 19–22.
- Corrêa-Marques, M.H. and De Jong, D. (1998) Uncapping of worker bee brood, a component of the hygienic behavior of Africanized honey bees against the mite *Varroa jacobsoni* Oudemans. *Apidologie* **29**: 283–289.
- Cremer, S. and Sixt, M. (2009) Analogies in the evolution of individual and social immunity. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B* **364**: 129–142.
- Cremer, S., Armitage, S.A. and Schmid-Hempel, P. (2007) Social immunity. *Curr Biol* **17**: R693–R702.
- Diamond, R.H., Cressman, D.E., Laz, T.M., Abrams, C.S. and Taub, R. (1994) PRL-1, a unique nuclear protein tyrosine phosphatase, affects cell growth. *Mol Cell Biol* 14: 3752–3762.
- Dolph, P.J., Ranganathan, R., Colley, N.J., Hardy, R.W., Socolich, M. and Zuker, C.S. (1993) Arrestin function in inactivation of G protein-coupled receptor rhodopsin *in vivo*. *Science* 260: 1910–1916.
- Edwards, A.C., Zwarts, L., Yamamoto, A., Callaerts, P. and Mackay, T.F. (2009) Mutations in many genes affect aggressive behavior in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *BMC Biol* **7**: 29.
- Ellis, J.D., Richards, C.S., Hepburn, H.R. and Elzen, P.J. (2003) Oviposition by small hive beetles elicits hygienic responses from Cape honey bees. *Naturwissenschatten* **90**: 532–535.
- Evans, J.D., Aronstein, K., Chen, Y.P., Hetru, C., Imler, J.L., Jiang, H. *et al.* (2006) Immune pathways and defence mechanisms in honey bees *Apis mellifera*. *Insect Mol Biol* **15**: 645– 656.

- Foret, S. and Maleszka, R. (2006) Function and evolution of a gene family encoding odorant binding-like proteins in a social insect, the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). *Genome Res* **16**: 1404–1413.
- Goode, K., Huber, Z., Mesce, K.A. and Spivak, M. (2006) Hygienic behavior of the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) is independent of sucrose responsiveness and foraging ontogeny. *Horm Behav* 49: 391–397.
- Greenspan, R.J. (2001) The flexible genome. *Nat Rev Genet* 2: 383–387.
- Grozinger, C.M., Sharabash, N.M., Whitfield, C.W. and Robinson, G.E. (2003) Pheromone-mediated gene expression in the honey bee brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100**: 14519–14525.
- Guzmán-Novoa, E., Vandame, R. and Arechavaleta, M.E. (1999) Susceptibility of European and Africanized honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.) to *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud in Mexico. *Apidologie* **30**: 173–182.
- Harbo, J.R. and Harris, J.W. (1999) Selecting honey bees for resistance to Varroa jacobsoni. *Apidologie* **30**: 183–196.
- Harbo, J.B. and Harris, J.W. (2005a) The number of genes involved in the SMR trait. *Am Bee J* **145**: 430–431.
- Harbo, J.R. and Harris, J.W. (2005b) Suppressed mite reproduction explained by the behaviour of adult bees. *J Apic Res* **44**: 21–23.
- Harbo, J.R. and Harris, J.W. (2009) Responses to varroa by honey bees with different levels of varroa sensitive hygiene. *J Apic Res* 48: 156–161.
- Harris, J.W. (2007) Bees with varroa Sensitive Hygiene preferentially remove mite infested pupae aged < five days post capping. J Apic Res 46: 134–139.
- Hoffmann, J.A. (2003) The immune response of *Drosophila*. *Nature* **426**: 33–38.
- Honeybee Genome Sequencing Consortium (2006) Insights into social insects from the genome of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Nature* **443**: 931–949.
- Jansen, R.C. and Nap, J.P. (2001) Genetical genomics: the added value from segregation. *Trends Genet* **17**: 388–391.
- Kim, S.K., Lund, J., Kiraly, M., Duke, K., Jiang, M., Stuart, J.M. et al. (2001) A gene expression map for *Caenorhabditis* elegans. Science **293**: 2087–2092.
- Lampel, J., Briscoe, A.D. and Wasserthal, L.T. (2005) Expression of UV-, blue-, long-wavelength-sensitive opsins and melatonin in extraretinal photoreceptors of the optic lobes of hawk moths. *Cell Tissue Res* **321**: 443–458.
- Lapidge, K.L., Oldroyd, B.P. and Spivak, M. (2002) Seven suggestive quantitative trait loci influence hygienic behavior of honey bees. *Naturwissenschaften* 89: 565–568.
- Le Conte, Y., Arnold, G., Trouiller, J., Masson, C. and Chappe, B. (1990) Identification of a brood pheromone in honey bees. *Naturwissenschaften* **77**: 334–336.
- Le Conte, Y., Mohammedi, A. and Robinson, G.E. (2001) Primer effects of a brood pheromone on honeybee behavioural development. *Proc Biol Sci* **268**: 163–168.
- Le Conte, Y., Ellis, M. and Ritter, W. (2010) *Varroa* mites and honey bee health: can *Varroa* explain part of the colony losses? *Apidologie* **41**: 353–363.
- Li, J. and Burmeister, M. (2005) Genetical genomics: combining genetics with gene expression analysis. *Hum Mol Genet* 14: R163–R169.
- Maibeche-Coisne, M., Monti-Dedieu, L., Aragon, S. and Dauphin-Villemant, C. (2000) A new cytochrome P450 from *Drosophila*

melanogaster, CYP4G15, expressed in the nervous system. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **273**: 1132–1137.

- Merrill, C.E., Riesgo-Escovar, J., Pitts, R.J., Kafatos, F.C., Carlson, J.R. and Zwiebel, L.J. (2002) Visual arrestins in olfactory pathways of *Drosophila* and the malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 1633– 1638.
- Mondragon, L., Spivak, M. and Vandame, R. (2005) A multifactorial study of the resistance of Africanized and hybrid honey bees *Apis mellifera* to the mite *Varroa destructor* over one year in Mexico. *Apidologie* **36**: 345–358.
- Morozova, T.V., Ayroles, J.F., Jordan, K.W., Duncan, L.H., Carbone, M.A., Lyman, R.F. *et al.* (2009) Alcohol sensitivity in *Drosophila*: translational potential of systems genetics. *Genetics* **183**: 733–745.
- Navajas, M., Migeon, A., Alaux, C., Martin-Magniette, M., Robinson, G., Evans, J. *et al.* (2008) Differential gene expression of the honey bee *Apis mellifera* associated with *Varroa destructor* infection. *BMC Genomics* **9**: 301.
- Neumann, P. and Härtels, S. (2004) Removal of small hive beetle (*Aethina tumida*) eggs and larvae by African honey bee colonies (*Apis mellifera scutellata*). *Apidologie* **35**: 31–36.
- Oxley, P.R., Spivak, M. and Oldroyd, B.P. (2010) Six quantitative trait loci influence task thresholds for hygienic behaviour in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Mol Ecol* **19**: 1452–1461.
- Paul, S. and Lombroso, P.J. (2003) Receptor and nonreceptor protein tyrosine phosphatases in the nervous system. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 60: 2465–2482.
- Rosenkranz, P., Aumeier, P. and Ziegelmann, B. (2010) Biology and control of *Varroa destructor*. *J Invertebr Pathol* **103**: 96–119.
- Rothenbuhler, W.C. (1964a) Behaviour genetics of nest cleaning in honey bees. I. Responses of four inbred lines to diseasekilled brood. *Anim Behav* **12**: 578–583.
- Rothenbuhler, W.C. (1964b) Behaviour genetics of nest cleaning in honey bees. IV. Responses of F1 and backcross generations to disease-killed brood. *Am Zool* **4**: 111–123.
- Schmid-Hempel, P. (1998) *Parasites in Social Insects*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Schneider, S.S. and Lewis, L.A. (2004) The vibration signal, modulatory communication and the organization of labor in honey bees, *Apis mellifera. Apidologie* **35**: 117–131.
- Simone, M., Evans, J.D. and Spivak, M. (2009) Resin collection and social immunity in honey bees. *Evolution* 63: 3016–3022.
- Siva-Jothy, M.T., Moret, Y. and Rolff, J. (2005) Evolutionary ecology of insect immunity. Adv Insect Physiol 32: 1–48.
- Sokolowski, M.B. (2001) *Drosophila*: genetics meets behaviour. *Nat Rev Genet* **2**: 879–890.
- Spivak, M. and Reuter, G.S. (1998) Honey bee hygienic behavior. *Am Bee J* **138**: 283–286.

- Spivak, M. and Reuter, G.S. (2001a) Varroa jacobsoni infestation in untreated honey bee (Hymenoptera:Apidae) colonies selected for hygienic behavior. J Econ Entomol 94: 326– 331.
- Spivak, M. and Reuter, G.S. (2001b) Resistance to American foulbrood disease by honey bee colonies *Apis mellifera* bred for hygienic behavior. *Apidologie* **32**: 555–565.
- Starks, P.T., Blackie, C.A. and Seeley, T.D. (2000) Fever in honeybee colonies. *Naturwissenschaften* 87: 229–231.
- Tusher, V.G., Tibshirani, R. and Chu, G. (2001) Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98: 5116–5121.
- Vandame, R., Colin, M.E., Morand, S. and Otero-Colina, G. (2000) Levels of compatibility in a new host-parasite association: *Apis melliferal Varroa jacobsoni. Can J Zool* **78**: 2037– 2044.
- Vandame, R., Morand, S., Colin, M.E. and Belzunces, L.P. (2002) Parasitism in the social bee *Apis mellifera*: quantifying costs and benefits of behavioral resistance to *Varroa destructor* mites. *Apidologie* **33**: 433–445.
- Velarde, R.A., Sauer, C.D., Walden, K.K., Fahrbach, S.E. and Robertson, H.M. (2005) Pteropsin: a vertebrate-like nonvisual opsin expressed in the honey bee brain. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 35: 1367–1377.
- Villegas, A. and Villa, J.D. (2006) Uncapping of pupal cells by European bees in the United States as responses to Varroa destructor and Galleria mellonella. *J Apic Res* **45**: 203–206.
- Walker, W.B., Smith, E.M., Jan, T. and Zwiebel, L.J. (2008) A functional role for *Anopheles gambiae* Arrestin1 in olfactory signal transduction. *J Insect Physiol* 54: 680–690.
- Wang, L., Dankert, H., Perona, P. and Anderson, D.J. (2008) A common genetic target for environmental and heritable influences on aggressiveness in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 105: 5657–5663.
- Wang, Q., Hasan, G. and Pikielny, C.W. (1999) Preferential expression of biotransformation enzymes in the olfactory organs of *Drosophila melanogaster*, the antennae. *J Biol Chem* 274: 10309–10315.
- Wayne, M.L. and McIntyre, L.M. (2002) Combining mapping and arraying: an approach to candidate gene identification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 14903–14906.
- Wilson-Rich, N., Spivak, M., Fefferman, N.H. and Starks, P.T. (2009) Genetic, individual, and group facilitation of disease resistance in insect societies. *Annu Rev Entomol* 54: 405– 423.
- Zinke, I., Schutz, C.S., Katzenberger, J.D., Bauer, M. and Pankratz, M.J. (2002) Nutrient control of gene expression in *Drosophila*: microarray analysis of starvation and sugardependent response. *EMBO J* **21**: 6162–6173.